

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

REVISION APPLICATION NO. 225 OF 2025

Machindranath Ramchandra Jadhav. ... Applicant.
Versus
State of Maharashtra. ... Respondent.

Mr. Rishi Bhuta i/b. Mr. Chaitanya Malgaonkar, Advocate for Applicant.

Mr. Mayur Sonavane, APP for Respondent/State.

Mr. Sandeep Namdeo Zagade, B. No. HC 1544, Vaduj Police Station,
Satara.

CORAM : ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.

**DATE : 26th MAY, 2025
(VACATION COURT)**

P.C. :

1. Heard Mr. Rishi Bhuta, Advocate for the Applicant and the learned APP for State.
2. Leave to amend the cause title. Amendment to be carried out forthwith.
3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the applicant has been convicted and sentenced under Section 279, 337 and 338 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. Learned Advocate Mr. Rishi Bhuta states that the findings of the learned Trial Court as well as the Appellate Court on

the issue of rash and negligence are perverse. By taking me through the impugned order he submits that the conviction of the applicant would require interference by this Court.

4. Issue notice to the Respondents, returnable on 23/06/2025.
5. Call for R & P.

(ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.)