

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.6488 OF 2025**

Shreyas Ravindra Shanbhag	)	
Age: 19 years, Occ: Student,	)	
Residing at A-603,	)	
Piccadilly's Shivsai – Paradise,	)	
Near Fatima Church,	)	
Majiwada, Thane – West	)	 Petitioner.

V/s

1. The State of Maharashtra	)	
Through	)	
Navghar Police Station, Mulund,	)	
Mumbai	)	
	)	
2. Mrs Nalini Rajendra Pange	)	
Age 61 years, House wife	)	
Residing at Room No.1, Seetamai	)	
Apartment, Lokmanya Tilak Road,	)	
Hanuman Chowk, Mulund (East),	)	
Mumbai	)	Respondents.

Mr Subhradeep Banerjee a/w. Sudhakar Pandaram, advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajinkya Pandere, advocate for the respondent no.2.

Mr. S.V. Gavand, APP for the respondent No.1-State.

**CORAM : SHREE CHANDRASHEKHAR, CJ &
GAUTAM A. ANKHAD, J.**

DATE : 10th DECEMBER 2025.

PER, GAUTAM A. ANKHAD, J.

The present Criminal Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India and section 528 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 seeking quashing of the FIR No.457 of 2025 dated 20th November 2025 registered with Navghar Police Station, Mumbai.

2. The FIR no. 457 of 2025 was registered at the instance of the respondent no. 2. It is alleged that while the respondent no. 2 was crossing the road, she was hit by the petitioner's motorcycle and sustained injuries. After the accident, the petitioner assisted the respondent no. 2 to get the requisite medical attention.

3. The parties now have settled their disputes amicably. A Joint Compromise letter dated 25th November 2025 addressed to the Police Officer, Navaghar Police Station records the settlement reached between the parties. The respondent no.2 has filed an affidavit of no objection dated 27th November 2025, which records that the said incident was not deliberate and an unfortunate accident. It is also stated that the respondent no. 2 no longer wishes to pursue the said FIR and any proceedings arising therefrom and has no objection to quashing of the FIR. The parties are present in the Court and identified by their respective counsel. The parties have affixed their signature and recorded their appearance in their own handwriting. The appearance and the copies of their Aadhaar cards shall now form part of the record.

4. It is settled law, as held by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "*Narinder Singh v. State of Punjab*", (2014) 6 SCC 466, that the Court is empowered to quash the criminal proceedings to prevent the abuse

of process of law, particularly, when the complainant no longer supports the complaint. Therefore, continuation of the criminal proceedings would serve no fruitful purpose. In light of the same, **Criminal Writ Petition No.6488 of 2025 is allowed** in terms of prayer clause (a) which reads as under:-

“a. Quash and set aside FIR No.0457 of 2025 dated 20.11.2025 registered with Navghar Police Station, Mumbai, for alleged offences under Sections 281 and 125(b) fo the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 184, 119 and 177 of the Motor Vehicles Act, together with all proceedings arising therefrom;”

[GAUTAM A. ANKHAD, J.]

[CHIEF JUSTICE]

BHARAT
DASHARATH
PANDIT

Digitally signed
by BHARAT
DASHARATH
PANDIT
Date: 2025.12.25
16:39:17 +0530