

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 6420 OF 2025

Ajaykumar P. Maroo

...Petitioner

Versus

State Of Maharashtra And Anr.

...Respondents

Mr. Jayant Bardeskar, for the Petitioner.

Mr. K. C. Shinde, APP for the State – Respondent No.1.

SANTOSH
SUBHASH
KULKARNI

Digitally signed by
SANTOSH SUBHASH
KULKARNI
Date: 2025.12.19
11:05:26 +0530

CORAM: N. J. JAMADAR, J.

DATED: 18th DECEMBER, 2025

PC:-

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner.
2. The learned Counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, submits that, in the intervening period, charge is framed against the petitioner.
3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner, thus, seeks leave to withdraw the petition with liberty to challenge the order framing charge.

Leave granted with liberty as prayed for.

4. The petition stands disposed as withdrawn with liberty to file an appropriate proceeding challenging the order framing charge.

5. The time spent by the petitioners in prosecuting this petition shall be accounted for under Section 14 of the Limitation Act, in the event the question of limitation arises.

[N. J. JAMADAR, J.]