

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.5721 OF 2025

1. Vijay Anantram Telang	]	
2. Rupa Vijay Telang	]	.. Petitioners
Versus		
1. The State of Maharashtra,	]	
Through Kurar Police Station, Malad, Mumbai	]	
2. Priya @ Kaveri Santosh <i>alias</i>	]	
Priya @ Kaveri Prakash Guttedar	]	.. Respondents

Mr. Rajendra P. Mishra, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mrs. Mahalaxmi Ganapathy, Additional Public Prosecutor for Respondent No.1.

Mr. Gaus Mohd. Shaikh, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

**CORAM : SHREE CHANDRASHEKHAR, CJ. &
GAUTAM A. ANKHAD, J.**

DATE : 2ND DECEMBER 2025.

PER, GAUTAM A. ANKHAD, J.

The present writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India and section 528 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking the following relief:

“(a) That by an appropriate order and direction of this Hon’ble Court, the petitioners’ FIR and charge-sheet may be quashed in C.R. No.63/2018 lodged in Kurar Police Station for the offence u/s. 498A, 406, 323, 504, 506 and 34 of IPC and charge-sheet bearing C.C. No.1290/PW/2019.”

2. The petitioners are the uncle-in-law and aunt-in-law of the respondent no.2. The marriage between the petitioners’ nephew and the respondent no.2 was solemnised on 1st February 2017. A year later, a First Information Report bearing No.63 of 2018 was lodged at the instance of the respondent no.2 at Kurar police station. A charge-sheet bearing C.C. No.1290/PW/2019 has been filed before the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Borivali,

Mumbai. In the said charge-sheet, the name of the petitioners are excluded. The nephew and the respondent no.2 have now amicably settled disputes by filing Consent Terms before the Sessions Court at Dindoshi, Mumbai in D.V. Appeal Case bearing No.140 of 2022. Mr. Shaikh, the learned counsel for the respondent no.2 has tendered an affidavit dated 11th November 2025 which records that the respondent no.2 has no objection to quashing of the FIR in view of the settlement reached between the parties. The respondent no.2 is present in the Court and identified by Mr. Shaikh. The respondent no.2 has affixed her signature and recorded her appearance in her own handwriting which shall form part of the proceedings.

3. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in "*B.S. Joshi v. State of Haryana*" (2003) 4 SCC 675 held that the High Court can invoke its inherent power to quash criminal cases arising from marital disputes, provided the court is satisfied that an amicable settlement has been reached, which serves the interests of justice. Considering the settlement between the parties, **Criminal Writ Petition No.5721 of 2025 is allowed** in terms of prayer clause (a) and the FIR, charge-sheet and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed and set aside.

[GAUTAM A. ANKHAD, J.]

[CHIEF JUSTICE]