

came to be rejected. Learned Sessions Judge was of the view that a sum of Rs.1,55,000/- ordered to be paid by the learned Magistrate by way of compensation to the Respondent No.2 – complainant cannot be said to be sufficient compensation.

3. In view of the decision of the Supreme Court in the case of **Sanjabij Tari V/s. Kishor S. Borcar and Anr.**¹, wherein the Supreme Court has further clarified the position as regards compounding of the offences under Section 138 of N.I.Act, and modified the guidelines in the case of **Damodar S. Prabhu V/s. Sayed Babalal H.**², the issue requires consideration.

4. Issue notice to the Respondents, returnable on 6 November 2025.

5. Learned APP waives service on behalf of Respondent No.1 - State.

6. In addition to notice through Court, the Petitioners are at liberty to serve Respondent No.2 by private service and file an affidavit of service.

(N.J.JAMADAR, J.)

1 Criminal Appeal No.1755 of 2010

2 (2010) 5 SCC 663