

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 4359 OF 2025

Bhuvneshkumar J Sharma

...Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra

...Respondent

Adv. Sahil Choudhari i/by Adv. Vikramjeet M. Siram	Advocate for the Petitioner
Ms. Gauri S. Rao	APP for the Respondent-State

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 13th AUGUST 2025

P. C. :-

1. Heard learned Advocate for the Petitioner and learned APP for the Respondent-State.
2. A prayer is for giving direction to the Sessions Court to decide the discharge application expeditiously within four weeks. The Sessions Case no. 445 of 2024. No one is present when the matter is called out.
3. The discharge application was filed on 12/11/2024 and the

matter was posted on certain dates. It is mentioned in para no. 8. After reading the averments in the petition coupled with copies of the roznama, it cannot be said that the learned Judge has not heard the discharge application without justifiable reason. The roznama from page no. 12 to 21, if considered together, it can be said that there was justifiable reason for adjourning the hearing, sometimes it is on account of non-appearance of the advocate for the Applicant and some time, the Court is busy in other matter.

4. So no case for issuing the direction to the expedite hearing is made out. It can only be said that the learned Judge to decide the discharge application as early as possible.

5. With these observations, the Writ Petition is disposed of.

[S. M. MODAK, J.]