

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 3889 OF 2025

Shreeram Tewari & anr.

.Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & ors.

.Respondents

Mr. Rajneesh Jaiswal a/w. Mr. Rajshekhar R. Balle, Advocates, for the Petitioners

Ms. R. S. Tendulkar, APP, for the Respondent – State

Mr. Sanjay Anabhawane i/b. Ms. Medha Rane, Advocates, for Respondent Nos. 2 & 3

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 17.07.2025

P. C.

1. Mentioned. Not on board. Taken on board.
2. Mr. Anabhawane, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos. 2 & 3 appears on his own and submitted that the subject matter of the Petition does not pertain to the assignment of this Court.
3. Mr. Jaiswal, learned Advocate for the Petitioners submitted that if the matter does not pertain to the assignment of this Court, it is proper/appropriate for the office to verify and place it before the appropriate Bench. Let the office to verify and place it before the appropriate Bench. **Considering an urgency, office to do it today itself.**
4. Later on, submission is made that on enquiry, office informs that this will be Civil Writ Petition instead of Criminal Writ

Petition. Liberty is sought to make necessary amendment. Yet report of the office is not received but believing the submissions, the Petitioners are at liberty to carry out amendment in the Petition whenever required. It is expected that office will put necessary endorsement in the noting.

(S. M. MODAK, J.)