

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 3589 OF 2025

Sameer Sanjay Padwal & Ors.

... Petitioners

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

... Respondents

Mr. Rajesh More for Petitioners.

Mr. Ajay S. Patil, A.P.P. for Respondent No.1-State.

Ms. Sakshi A. Sarode i/by Ms. Archana Gaikwad for Respondent No.2.

**CORAM : A. S. GADKARI AND
RAJESH S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : 6th AUGUST 2025

P.C.:-

1) Leave to amend to state the correct name of Respondent No.2, granted.

1.1) Amendment be carried out forthwith and in any way, during the course of the day.

2) Petitioners i.e. Husband, Father in law, Mother in law and Sister in law of Respondent No.2, have filed this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for quashing of C.R. No. 1160 of 2024 dated 17th July 2024, registered with Hadapsar Police Station, Pune, under Sections 323, 498-A, 504 read with 34 of Indian Penal Code, with the consent of Respondent No.2, the informant.

3) Advocate Ms. Sarode, appearing for Respondent No.2 tendered across the Bar her affidavit dated 6th August 2025. It is stated therein that, the dispute between Petitioner No.1 and Respondent No.2 has been settled before the Family Court at Pune and the Consent Terms dated 9th April 2025 are executed therein. That, Petitioner No.1 and Respondent No.2 have decided to seek dissolution of marriage by mutual consent. In paragraph 6 thereof, Respondent No.2 has given her no objection for quashing of the present crime.

3.1) Respondent No.2 is personally present in the Court and through her Advocate, reiterates the contents of her affidavit dated 6th August 2025 and her 'no objection' for quashing of the crime in question.

4) In view thereof, Petition is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).

(RAJESH S. PATIL, J.)

(A.S. GADKARI, J.)