

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 3522 OF 2025

Tabassum Abdul Razzak Khan ...Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra And Anr. ...Respondents

Mr. Brijesh Shukla, for the Petitioner.
Mr. Subhas Jha & Raveena Yadav i/b Raveena Yadav, for the
Respondent No.2.
Mr. S.A. Karmakar, APP, for the Respondent – State.

CORAM: MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.
DATED : 14th OCTOBER 2025

PC:-

1. At the outset, Mr. Subhas Jha, learned Counsel appearing for the Respondent No.2 raises the objections to the maintainability of the Petition on the ground that there is an alternate statutory remedy of Appeal under Section 29 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 ('DV Act').

2. In view of the same Mr. Shukla, learned Counsel Appearing for the Petitioner seeks withdrawal of the Writ Petition. He states that he will file statutory Appeal as available under Section 29 of DV Act.

3. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is allowed to be withdrawn with leave as sought.

4. It is clarified that this Court has not considered the merits and all contentions on the merits of both the parties are expressly kept open.

[MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.]