

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 3517 OF 2025

Ravindra R Yadav

...Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra and Anr.

...Respondents

None for the Petitioner	
Adv. Shyam Dewani a/w Adv. Sachet Makhija i/by Dewani Associates	Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 2 and 3
Ms. R. S. Tendulkar	APP for the Respondent No. 1 - State
API Ughade	EOW
P. N. Jadhav	CA - present

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 08th JULY 2025

P. C. :-

1. When the matter is called out, no one is present on behalf of the Petitioner. The learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 submitted that the petition is not maintainable because the order was passed by the designated Court as per the provisions of the MPID Act on 19.04.2025 and there is remedy of appeal provided.

2. By the impugned order, the claim for return of the property is rejected. The objection is correct.

3. In view of that, the Petition is disposed of with liberty to challenge that order by way of an appeal.

[S. M. MODAK, J.]