

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 3225 OF 2025

- | | | | |
|----|--|---|----------------|
| 1. | Sagar Haridas Bhosale |] | |
| | Age : 28 Occ : Service |] | |
| | 02, Near Balaji Temple, |] | |
| | Ganesh Kripa Society, Airoli, |] | |
| | Thane, 400708 |] | |
| 2. | Priyanka Chandrakant Bhosale |] | |
| | Age : 22 Occ : Service |] | |
| | 02, Near Balaji Temple, |] | |
| | Ganesh Kripa Society, Airoli, |] | |
| | Thane, 400708 |] | |
| 3. | Suvarna Haridas Bhosale |] | |
| | Age : 55 Occ : Housewife |] | |
| | Janki Tek Road, Tadgaon, |] | |
| | Korgaon, Satara 415170 |] | |
| 4. | Rajshree Ramesh Yadav |] | |
| | Age : 50 years, Occ : Housewife |] | |
| | 03, 1 st Floor, Posri Post, |] | |
| | Navpada, Bhoir Building, |] | |
| | Raigad |] | ...Petitioners |

V/s.

- | | | | |
|----|-----------------------------------|---|----------------|
| 1. | State of Maharashtra |] | |
| | (Through the office of the |] | |
| | Public Prosecutor) |] | |
| 2. | Vanita Sagar Bhosale |] | |
| | Age : 32 Occ : Service |] | |
| | 110 J, Gyaneshwar Worlikar Chowk, |] | |
| | Kolivadi Mumbai 400030 |] | ...Respondents |

Mr. Aayesh Kedia a/w. Ms. Yagni Sarvankar for Petitioners.

Mr.Yogesh M. Nakhwa, A.P.P. for Respondent No.1 – State.

Ms. Neha Mishra for Respondent No.2.

CORAM : A. S. GADKARI AND
RAJESH S. PATIL, JJ.

DATE : 26th JUNE, 2025

JUDGMENT (Per : A.S.Gadkari, J.):-

1) Petitioners i.e., the husband (Petitioner No.1); sister-in-law (Petitioner No.2); mother-in-law (Petitioner No.3) and aunt of Petitioner No.1 (Petitioner No.4) have filed present Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for quashing of C.R. No. 457 dated 6th September, 2024, registered with Dadar Police Station, Mumbai under Sections 323, 420, 498-A and 504 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, with the consent of Respondent No.2, the informant.

2) Ms.Mishra, learned Advocate appears on behalf of Respondent No.2 and undertakes to file her Vakaltnama on or before tomorrow.

3) Ms. Mishra, learned Advocate appearing for Respondent No.2 submitted that, Petitioners and Respondent No.2 have amicably settled their *inter se* disputes and differences and have filed Consent Terms dated 13th June, 2025 before the Judicial Magistrate First Class (5th Court), Dadar, Mumbai in C.C. No. 85/DV/2024. She tendered across the bar Affidavit of Respondent No.2 dated 26th June, 2025, duly affirmed before a Notary

Public.

3.1) Respondent No.2 is personally present in the Court and through her Advocate reiterates the contents of her Affidavit dated 26th June, 2025. In Paragraph No.6 thereof, Respondent No.2 has given her 'No Objection' for quashing of the crime in question.

4) In view of the above, Petition is allowed in terms of prayer clause (b).

(RAJESH S. PATIL, J.)

(A.S. GADKARI, J.)