

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.161 OF 2025

Ajaz Saeed Shaikh

...Appellant

Versus

SATISH
RAMCHANDRA
SANGAR

The State of Maharashtra

...Respondent

Digitally signed by
SATISH RAMCHANDRA
SANGAR

Date: 2025.03.12
10:47:26 +0530

Mr.Soham Jadhav i/b.Mr.Hasnain Kazi–Advocate for Appellant.
Mr.Vaibhav Bagade–Special Public Prosecutor a/w Smt.M.M.
Deshmukh–APP for Respondent–State.

**CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL &
S.M.MODAK, JJ.**

DATE : 10th MARCH 2025

P.C. :

1. This matter is placed by the Office before us.
2. Vide the order dated 20th February 2025, leave to amend was granted to the learned counsel for the Appellant to convert the Appeal which was filed into a Writ Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. Leave to amend for that purpose was granted and it was directed that the matter be placed before the appropriate bench after the amendment was carried out. Pursuant to the said order dated 20th February 2025, the amendment was carried out in the Criminal Appeal

but the original order dated 20th February 2025 which was part of the record and was stitched before the Appeal memo was also amended inadvertently. Obviously, it is an inadvertent error and the Advocate for the Petitioner had no authority to change even the title of the said order dated 20th February 2025. However, we are satisfied that it is an inadvertent error and therefore, it needs to be corrected.

Hence, the amendment which appears in red ink with signature of the Advocate for the Petitioner in blue ink needs to be removed from the original order dated 20th February 2025. The Office shall permit masking that amendment from the original order dated 20th February 2025.

3. It is clarified, that the order dated 20th February 2025 shall stand as it is without any change inadvertently made by the Advocate for the Petitioner.

(S.M.MODAK, J.)

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)