

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

Criminal Writ Petition No. 1241 of 2025

Rajkumar Babubhai Singhavi (Jain)

and others

... Petitioners

V/s.

State of Maharashtra and anr.

... Respondents.

Mr. Krishna Holambe Patil, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. Sunil Upadhyay i/b. Ashok Saraogi for the Respondent No.2.

Mr. S.R. Agarkar, APP for the State.

**CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL
& S.M. MODAK, JJ.**

DATE : 2nd May 2025.

Digitally
signed by
LATA
SUNIL
PANJWANI
Date:
2025.05.06
10:56:39
+0530

LATA
SUNIL
PANJWANI

P.C.:

This matter is mentioned for speaking to minutes of the order dated 25th March 2025 by the learned Counsel for the Petitioner. There was a typographical error in the pleadings of the petition as the Case Number is mentioned as PW/4407/2024. The correct number is PW/4407/2023. This is a typographical error. Therefore, leave is granted to the learned Counsel for the Petitioner to amend the petition to correct the typographic error in the memo of the petition. Amendment be carried out forthwith.

2. Consequently, the same error which is creeping in the order dated 25th March 2025 also needs to be corrected in Para No.1 and

in the operative part of the order. Accordingly, the corrections be made

3. The rest of the order shall remain as it is. The corrected order be uploaded.

(S.M. MODAK, J.)

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

The Corrected Order dated 25th March 2025 Reads thus:

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

Writ Petition No. 1241 of 2025

Rajkumar Babubhai Singhavi (Jain)
and anr.

... Petitioners

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra and anr.

... Respondents.

Mr. K.H. Holambe Patil (appeared through V.C.) a/w. Adocate Vishal G. Shirsat, Advocate Nitin Murkute, Advocate Bhalchandra Kumbhar, Advocate Digambar G. Kate, Advocates for the Petitioners.

Mr. Amit G. Dubey i/b. Ashok M. Saraogi, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

Mr. S.R. Agarkar, APP for the State.

**CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL
& S.M. MODAK, JJ.**

DATE : 25th March 2025.

P.C. :

This is a petition for quashing of the proceedings bearing R.C.C. No.PW/4407/2023 pending before then 24th Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Borivali Court, Mumbai (now Chief Judicial Magistrate, Borivali, Mumbai) arising from C.R. No.1258/22 under Sections 323,406,498(A), 506(2),500,504,506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

2. The FIR is lodged by the Respondent No.2. The Petitioner No.1 is her husband. The Petitioner No.2 is the Petitioner No.1's mother. The Petitioner No.3 is his brother. The Petitioner No.4 is his sister. The Petitioner No.5 is the husband of his another sister. Petitioner No.6 is his uncle. Petitioner No.7 is also uncle of the Petitioner No.1. The Petitioner No.8 is his sister. The Petitioner No.9 is husband of Petitioner No.4. Thus, they are close relatives of the Petitioner No.1. The FIR is lodged by the Respondent No.2. It is not necessary to refer to the allegations in the FIR because the parties have now settled the matter. The charge-sheet in this case is filed. The copy of the charge-sheet is submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioners. It is taken on record and marked 'X' collectively for identification. The gist of the FIR is that the Respondent No.2 got married with the Petitioner No.1 on 20th February 2008. At that time, her father had given her gold and diamond ornaments worth more than Rs.One Crore and Ten lacs. The FIR thereafter goes on to mention that all the Petitioners ill treated her. She was residing with the Petitioner No.1 in U.K. for considerable period. There are

allegations that the Petitioner No.1 demanded Rs.20 lacs from her father. There are also allegations that the Petitioner No.1 was having extra marital relationship. The other Petitioners used to instigate him and used to harass the Respondent No.2. On all these allegations FIR is lodged. The investigation was carried out. Charge-sheet contains the statements of the Respondent No.2, her father, brother, sister and friend etc. All of them supported the allegations in the FIR.

3. Now the matter is settled between the parties. The Respondent No.2 had filed her affidavit giving clear consent for quashing of proceedings. She has appeared in the Court through video conferencing. She is identified by her learned Counsel. She reiterated the contents of the affidavit. She stated before the Court that she has no objection for quashing of these proceedings and the settlement was arrived at to her satisfaction.

4. The dispute between the parties is purely personal in nature. The Society at large is not involved. The parties have settled the dispute. The couple is blessed with two children during their cohabitation. It will be in the interest of the children that the proceedings are quashed. No purpose will be served by continuing the criminal prosecution. Hence, the following order:

ORDER

(i) The Writ Petition is allowed.

(ii) The proceedings in R.C.C. No. PW/4407/2023 pending before then 24th Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Borivali Court, Mumbai (now Chief Judicial Magistrate, Borivali, Mumbai) arising from C.R. No.1258/22 under Sections 323,406,498(A), 506(2),500,504,506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code are hereby quashed and set aside.

5. The Writ Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(S.M. MODAK, J.)

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J)