

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.1138 OF 2025

1. **Milind Prabhakar Kelkar**

Age : 55 Years, Occupation : Service,
Residing at : A-1304, Oberoi Park View,
Thakur Village, Kandivili East,
Mumbai : 400 101.

...Petitioner

Versus

1. **The State of Maharashtra**

At the instance of Sr.Inspector of
Police, Charkop Police Station
vide F.I.R. No.549 of 2023.

2. **Chandrashekar Manohar Revankar**

Age : 51 Years, Occupation : Driver,
Residing at : 1006, Mahalakshmi CHS,
Hira Arjan, Arjunwadi, Near Meena
Hospital, Ghansoli, Navi Mumbai.

...Respondents

Mr.Ayush Pasbola a/w Mr.Prajyot Shrivastav – Advocates for
Petitioner.

Mr.S.R.Agarkar – APP for Respondent No.1 – State.

Mr.Pradeep P. Kumawat – Advocate for Respondent No.2.

**CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL &
S.M.MODAK, JJ.**

DATE : 10th MARCH 2025

P.C. :

1. Heard learned Advocate for the Petitioner, learned

APP and learned Advocate for the First-Informant.

Satish Sangar

SATISH
RAMCHANDRA
SANGAR

Digitally signed by
SATISH RAMCHANDRA
SANGAR

Date: 2025.03.13
10:19:12 +0530

2. The incident took place on 10th September 2023 at about 3.45 p.m. The Petitioner was driving his car having Registration No.MH-AY-1247. The Petitioner struck another car which was Wagon R bearing No.MH-43-CE-2601. The car driven by the First-Informant was going towards Dadar and the car driven by the Petitioner was behind it when the accident took place. The First-Informant informed the Mahim Police Station on 10th September 2023. They registered an offence under Sections 279, 336, 427 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (“**IPC**”) and under Section 184 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. The charge-sheet was also filed for those offences.

3. This Petition is for quashing of the F.I.R. and the charge-sheet. During pendency, the Petitioner and the First-Informant have settled the dispute and the First-Informant is consenting for quashing of the F.I.R.

Today, he is present in the Court. He has filed an Affidavit. He is identified by his learned Advocate. He admits the contents of the Affidavit. Para No.4 refers about his “No objection” for quashing of the F.I.R.

4. Even though the F.I.R. refers to one more car,

learned APP, on instructions, submitted that the investigation did not reveal damage to any other car.

5. Considering the nature of the offence involved and considering the fact, that the parties have settled the dispute and as such, the society is not involved, we are inclined to quash the F.I.R. and consequent proceeding. Hence, order:-

O R D E R

- (i) The Petition is allowed.
 - (ii) The F.I.R. bearing No.463 of 2023 registered with Mahim Police Station and the consequent proceeding are hereby quashed and set aside.
6. In view of this, the Petition is disposed of.

(S.M.MODAK, J.)

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)