

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

Criminal Writ Petition No. 911 of 2025

Pritesh Prakash Dugad

... Petitioner

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra & anr.

... Respondents

Mr. Saurabh Butala & Harshad Sathe	Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. H.J. Dedhia	APP for the State.
Mr. R.Y. Ahire,	PSI, Kurla Police Station present.

LATA
SUNIL
PANJWANI

Digitally
signed by
LATA
SUNIL
PANJWANI
Date:
2025.07.31
12:40:08
+0530

CORAM : S.M. MODAK, J

DATE : 28th July 2025.

P.C. :

Heard learned Advocate Sathe for the Petitioner. Initially non-cognizable offence is registered, however, later on the proper complaint is filed consisting of allegations relating to cognizable offence. Learned Magistrate called for a police report. It does not favour the allegations in the complaint. Still learned Magistrate issued a process for the offences under Sections 452 and 506(ii) r/w Section 34 of the IPC.

2. These grounds can be raised in a revision to be filed before the Sessions Court. Mr. Sathe tried his level best to convince me that

there are additional documents which cannot be considered by the Revisional Court.

3. He wants to place reliance on the judgments. Stand over to **1st August 2025** on supplementary Board.

(S.M. MODAK, J.)