

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.804 OF 2025

Shivaji Madhukar Nalang & Anr.

.... Petitioners

versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

.... Respondents

.....

- None for Petitioners.
- Ms. Sharmila S. Kaushik, APP for the State/Respondent.
- Ms. Anjali Tripathi i/b. MKS Legal Associates, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

**CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL &
SHYAM C. CHANDAK, JJ.**
DATE : 14th OCTOBER, 2025

P.C. :

1. None present for the Petitioners.
2. The Ground A in the Petition mentioned that the Petitioners and the Respondent No.2 have resolved their differences and that the Respondent No.2 has settled the matter amicably with the Petitioners that the Respondent No.2 did not desire to pursue the case which is the subject matter of the Petition. Learned counsel for the Respondent No.2 invited our

MANUSHREE
NESARIKAR

Digitally signed by
MANUSHREE
NESARIKAR
Date: 2025.10.17
11:50:48 +0530

attention to the affidavit filed by the Respondent No.2. It is mentioned in the said affidavit that there are certain reservations expressed by the Respondent No.2 because there is no compliance of the consent terms on the part of the Petitioner No.1.

3. In this view of the matter it is quite clear that the Respondent No.2 does not have clear consent for quashing of the proceedings. Therefore, the Petition cannot be entertained and it is dismissed. It is made clear that in future if the parties arrive at a settlement, they can renew their prayer for quashing by consent.

4. With these observations, the Petition is disposed of.

(SHYAM C. CHANDAK, J.)

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)