

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 131 OF 2025

Brinks India Pvt Ltd. Through
Its Autho. Vinay Desai

...Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra and Anr.

...Respondents

Adv. Racheeta Rajednra Dhuru	Advocate for the Petitioner
Shri Y. Y. Dabake	APP for the Respondent-State

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 26th AUGUST 2025

P. C. :-

1. Heard both the sides.
2. It is true the seized property is handed over to the Petitioner as per direction of this Court. There was condition put not to sell it without obtaining the permission of the learned Magistrate. Permission was sought, but it was rejected. That is why this petition.
3. While passing that order dated 09.12.2020, this Court has put a

condition of obtaining photograph and videography.

4. In fact the Petitioner has not annexed that panchnama.
5. Learned App seeks time to produce that panchnama. Let either of the Parties to produce that panchnama on the next date.
6. Stand over to **02nd September 2025** for direction.

[S. M. MODAK, J.]