

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 282 OF 2025

Rohini Sanket Dhoka

...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra

...Respondent

Ms. Meenaz Mozawala h/f Mr. Zaid Anwar Qureshi, learned Advocate for Applicant Nos. 1 & 2.

Mr. Sangharsh a/w Mr. Dinesh Sonawane, Mr. Ramesh Golait, Mr. Amit Mishra, Mr. Rahul Gaikwad, Mr. V. Sonawale, learned Advocates for Applicant Nos. 3 & 4.

Mr. Tanveer G. Khan, learned A.P.P. for the State/Respondent.

Mr. Rajesh Ranglani h/f Mr. Priyal Sarda, learned Advocate for Respondent No. 2.

CORAM : ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.

DATE : 4th SEPTEMBER 2025.

P.C. :

1. Ms. Meenaz Mozawala holding for Mr. Zaid Quereshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant. She submitted that the present Application is being prosecuted by Applicant Nos. 1 & 2 and she has instructions to delete Applicant Nos. 3 & 4 from the cause-title of the present Application.

2. Mr. Sangharsh, learned Advocate appears on behalf of Applicant Nos. 3 & 4 and submits that he has instructions to appear before this Court on behalf of said Applicant Nos. 3 and 4 i.e., Sanjay Chunnilal Jaiswal and Ramesh Chunnilal Jaiswal, and to make a statement that both the said Applicants have filed an Application seeking leave to withdraw the present Application on their behalf. He states that the said Application is e-filed.

3. At the request of Mr. Sangharsh, learned Advocate for Applicant Nos. 3 & 4, the matter was kept post-lunch.
4. Matter was taken up at 4.45 p.m.
5. Mr. Sangharsh, learned Advocate for Applicant Nos. 3 & 4 submits that in view of the objections raised by the Registry, said Application could not be registered. He further submits that office objections would be cleared within a period of 2 weeks from today.
6. Ms. Meenaz Mozawala holding for Mr. Zaid Qureshi, learned Advocate for Applicant Nos. 1 & 2 and Mr. Tanveer Khan, learned A.P.P. for the State/Respondent do not object for grant of request made by Mr. Sangharsh, learned Advocate for Applicant Nos. 3 & 4.
7. Mr. Rajesh Ranglani, learned Advocate for Respondent No. 2 seeks extension of time to file his reply. He submits that reply is ready and will be filed within a period of one week from today. Not objected to by Ms. Meenaz Mozawala holding for Mr. Zaid Qureshi, learned Advocate for Applicant Nos. 1 & 2 and Mr. Tanveer Khan, learned A.P.P. for the State/Respondent. Leave granted. Reply be filed before the Registry within a period of one week from today.
8. In view of the request made by Mr. Sangharsh, learned Advocate for Applicant Nos. 3 & 4 and by consent of the parties, list the matter on **26th September 2025**.

[ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.]