

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION***

***INTERIM APPLICATION NO.12006 OF 2025
(For Delay Condonation)
IN
FAMILY COURT APPEAL (STAMP) NO.30597 OF 2025***

Pallavi Balaji Pingale ... Applicant

Versus

Ballaji Krishna Pingale ... Respondent

Mr. Rahul B. Vijaymane (through VC) a/w Mr. Shekhar Mane
for the Applicant

Mr. Onkar Wable i/b Mr. Milind Deshpande for the Respondent

**CORAM : REVATI MOHITE DERE &
SANDESH D. PATIL, JJ.
MONDAY, 29th SEPTEMBER 2025**

P.C. :

1 At the outset, learned counsel for the applicant seeks
leave to amend the prayer clause, so as to insert the number of
days by which delay has occurred. Leave granted. Amendment
to be carried out during the course of the day.

2 By this application, the applicant seeks condonation of delay of 37 days caused in filing the aforesaid Appeal. Learned counsel for the respondent opposes the condonation of delay.

3 However, for the reasons set out in the application, more particularly, paragraphs 4 and 5 of the application, we find that the applicant has shown sufficient cause for condoning the delay of 37 days.

4 Accordingly, the application is allowed and the delay of 37 days occasioned in filing the aforesaid Appeal, is condoned.

5 Application is accordingly disposed of.

SANDESH D. PATIL, J.

REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.