

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERIM APPLICATION NO.10215 OF 2025  
[FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY]

WITH

INTERIM APPLICATION NO.10216 OF 2025  
[FOR STAY]

IN

FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO.14077 OF 2025

Teertha Sachendra Karanakar and Anr.

...Applicants

**In the matter between:-**

Teertha Sachendra Karanakar and Anr.

...Appellants

V/s.

The Municipal Corporation of Gr. Mumbai  
and Anr.

...Respondents

\*\*\*\*\*

|                                                            |                                          |
|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Mr.Mohit Khanna a/w Ms.Saloni<br>Manjrekar i/b. HN Legal:- | Advocates for Applicants/<br>Appellants. |
|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|

\*\*\*\*\*

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 1<sup>st</sup> OCTOBER 2025

**P. C. :-**

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO.10215 OF 2025**

1. Heard learned Advocate for the Applicants/Plaintiffs. Their suit was dismissed by the City Civil Court – Dindoshi on 26<sup>th</sup> September 2024. There is a delay of 64 days in preferring the Appeal. It is

opposed on behalf of the MCGM by filing Affidavit-in-Reply. The Applicant No.1 – Teertha stays at Dubai. Even the Applicants intended to engage a new Advocate. Hence more time was consumed for taking instructions and for giving instruction to the new Advocate. Even the earlier Advocate was under impression that 90 days' is the period for filing an Appeal but in fact it is 30 days. I find those details as sufficient reasons. Hence **the Application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).** It is disposed of.

**FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO.14077 OF 2025**

2. Issue notice to the Respondents returnable on **10<sup>th</sup> November 2025.**

[S. M. MODAK, J.]