

rsk

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE JURISDICTION**

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO.8513 OF 2025  
AND  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1732 OF 2016  
IN  
FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO.21813 OF 2015**

Municipal Corporation Of Greater ... Petitioners  
Bombay And Anr.

*Versus*

Shri. Maharshi Trivedi And Anr. ... Respondents

---

**Mr. Pradeep M. Patil i/b Komal Punjabi, for the Appellant-  
BMC**

**Mr. Ajit Kocharekar, for Respondent No.1A and 2.**

---

**CORAM : Jitendra Jain, J.**

**DATED : 15 September 2025**

**PC:-**

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO.8513 OF 2025**

1. Mr. Patil, learned counsel for the appellant-Corporation states that as per order dated 17 March 2025, it is recorded that respondent No.2 has expired. He therefore seeks time to take out appropriate application to bring legal heirs of respondent No.2 on record.
2. Mr. Kocharekar, learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 and 2 states that there is a mistake in the order dated 27 March 2025. He states that respondent No.1 who has

expired and not respondent No.2. He therefore seeks time to take out appropriate application before the appropriate Court for rectification of the said order dated 17 March 2025.

3. Mr. Patil, learned counsel for the appellant seeks time to file additional affidavit in support of the prayer sought for in Interim Application No.8513 of 2025 to explain delay of almost 3 years in filing the Interim Application to bring legal heirs on record. He fairly admits that the respondents on 2 June 2022 had informed that respondent No.1 has expired and also gave details of the same.

4. As a matter of last chance to enable the appellants to file additional affidavit, time is granted till 29 September 2025. If additional affidavit is not filed by 29 September 2025, then Interim Application No.8513 of 2025 will be dismissed.

5. List all these matters on 30 September 2025 for further consideration.

**(Jitendra Jain, J)**