

VRJ

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERIM APPLICATION NO.8230 OF 2025
IN
REVIEW PETITION (ST.) NO.17188 OF 2025
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.3609 OF 2025
WITH
REVIEW PETITION (ST.) NO.17188 OF 2025
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.3609 OF 2025

Milind Dada Kale

Applicant/Review
... Petitioner

V/s.

Kiran Raghunath Kale & Ors.

... Respondents

Mr. Nikhil Sakhardande, Senior Advocate with Vivek Salunkhe, Vivek M. Punjabi, Ashish Venugopal and Priyansh R. Jain for the applicant/review petitioner.

Mr. Umesh S. Iyer for respondent No.1.

Mr. S. M. Gorwadkar, Senior Advocate with Surel Shah, Senior Advocate i/by Gurudas Gorwadkar and Sandeep Shinde for respondent Nos.6A-3, 7 and 8.

CORAM : AMIT BORKAR, J.

DATED : JULY 8, 2025

P.C.:

INTERIM APPLICATION NO.8230 OF 2025

1. For the reasons stated in the interim application, the same stands allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).

REVIEW PETITION (ST.) NO.17188 OF 2025

2. The present review petitioner was originally a respondent in Writ Petition No.18903 of 2024. Being a respondent therein, he did not possess the liberty to independently challenge or question the legality or correctness of the order passed in the said writ petition.
3. It is important to clarify that the observations and findings recorded in the judgment dated 12th March 2025 were made in the context of the adjudication of the writ petition in which the present petitioner was respondent.
4. Accordingly, it is clarified that the rights and remedies available to the present petitioner in law remain unaffected by the said order dated 12th March 2025, and he shall be at liberty to pursue such remedies independently, in accordance with law.
5. The review petition stands disposed of. No costs.

(AMIT BORKAR, J.)