

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO.1333 OF 2025
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.1272 OF 2025**

Mr. Ajay Halarneker .. Petitioner/Applicant

Vs.

The Hon'ble High Court of Bombay
At Goa & Ors.

.. Respondents

...

Adv. Ryan Menezes (Thr. VC) with Ms. Bavina Kukalekar, Mr. Prabhakar M. Jadhav i/by Nigel Da Costa Frias, Advocates for the petitioner.

Dr. Milind Sathe, Senior Advocate with Mr. Rahul Nerlekar, Advocates for the respondent-High Court.

...

**CORAM : A.S. CHANDURKAR &
M.M. SATHAYE, JJ**

DATE : 7th FEBRUARY 2025.

P.C. :

1. Dr. Milind Sathe, learned Senior Advocate representing the respondent no.1 on instructions submits that the petitioner had secured a total of 42 marks in Paper-II-Criminal Law. As against question no.2, he had secured 5 marks out of 16. However, the marks scored were incorrectly recorded as 2 out of 16. Insofar as question no.5 is concerned, the petitioner had scored 4 marks out of 16. The marks awarded are incorrectly shown as 3 out of 16. Thus the total marks secured are 42 and not 38. He further submits that+ if a candidate secures more than 40 marks, his

answer paper is sent for moderation, as per practise. However, as of today the process of moderation of answer-sheets has now been completed.

2. Since the petitioner has scored more than 40 marks, it is directed that the exercise of moderation of the petitioner's answer paper in Paper II-Criminal Law be undertaken by the respondent no.1 within a period of one week.

3. Stand over to 14/02/2025 for further consideration. To be placed after urgent matters.

4. Parties to act on authenticated copy of this order.

[M.M. SATHAYE, J.]

[A.S. CHANDURKAR, J.]