



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
REVIEW PETITION NO.106 OF 2025
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.2091 OF 2025

The Agricultural Produce Market
Committee, Nashik

...Petitioner

Versus

Tejas Sharad Londhe & Ors.

...Respondents

Mr. Sachin Gite for the Petitioner.

Mr. Vinayak B. Gadekar for the Respondents.

CORAM : M. S. Sonak &
Jitendra Jain, JJ.

DATED : 10 July 2025

PC.:-

1. After arguing this review petition for some time, Mr. Gite, on instructions, withdraws this review petition with liberty to pursue the issue of settlement before the District Court. However, he requests for two weeks extension to deposit the amount of Rs.75 lakhs before the Principal District Court at Nashik.

2. The learned counsel for the Petitioner points out that the time limit for deposit has expired on 24 June 2025.

3. Now that a firm statement is made that the amount would be deposited within two weeks from today without seeking any extension, we extend the time for deposit upto the 24 July 2025. If no deposit is made by 24 July 2025, then, it would be obvious that this review petition was filed only to buy time and take advantage of the indulgence already shown to Agricultural Produce Market Committee

(APMC) by the order of which review is applied. A compliance report must be filed by 28 July 2025.

4. Though, we are allowing the withdrawal of this review petition with liberty and disposing of the same, we post the matter on 30 July 2025 for directions to enable APMC to report compliance.

5. We note that this petition was filed by Tejas Sharad Londhe and 24 others. However, the cause title does not correctly reflect this position. Accordingly, the cause title is directed to be corrected.

(Jitendra Jain, J.)

(M. S. Sonak, J.)