

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

MISCELLANEOUS CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 182 OF 2025

ANAND
SUDHAKAR
SUDAME

Riddhi Makrand Sthalekar alias
Ms. Sayali Arun Lad

..Applicant

Versus

Makrand Anil Sthalekar

..Respondent

Mr. Akshay Bansode h/f. Mr. Rahul Shelke, Advocates, for the Applicant

CORAM : RAJESH S. PATIL, J.

DATE : 16.10.2025

P C.

1. None appears for the Respondent when the matter is called out.
2. Office remarks show that notice issued to the Respondent returned unserved with bailiff remarks “door found locked. Respondent gone out and no fixed time to be returned.”
3. Mr. Bansode, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he has already filed an Affidavit of service to prove that notice issued to the Respondent by RPAD has returned back with remark “unclaimed”. So also notice was also sent to the Respondent through an Email.
4. In order to give one more opportunity to the Respondent to appear and make submissions in the proceedings, stand over to **14.11.2025.**

5. The Applicant is permitted to give one more notice to the Respondent, by all permissible modes of service and file Affidavit of service before the next date of hearing.
6. Ad-interim relief, if any, granted earlier to continue till further orders.

(RAJESH S. PATIL, J.)