

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO.480 OF 2025
IN
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.978 OF 2017
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.4111 OF 2015**

Gurucharan Bhandari ... Petitioner
Vs.
Vipin Sharma, Chief Executive Officer,
Maharashtra Industrial Development Corporation
Ltd. & Anr. ... Respondents

Mr. Kishor Patil i/by Jagdish Reddy for the Petitioner.

**CORAM : M.S. KARNIK &
N.R. BORKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 22ND JULY 2025

Digitally
signed by
MUGDHA
MANOJ
PARANJAPE
Date:
2025.07.28
11:43:16
+0530

P.C. :

1. Heard Learned Counsel for the Petitioner.
2. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Respondent-MIDC has committed contempt of Paragraph 2 of the order dated 11th October 2017. He further submits that the Respondent-MIDC has, even without hearing the Petitioner, decided the representation without any reasoned order, but just by informing the Petitioner that the representation is disposed of, which according to the Petitioner, is not the order passed in accordance with law.

3. We find that this Court in the order dated 11th October 2017 has directed the Petitioner to make a fresh application for allotment of alternate Plot No.57 in Khanda Colony. If at all the Petitioner is aggrieved by the order passed by the Respondent-MIDC, the remedy for the Petitioner to challenge the said order in appropriate proceedings is always open.

4. It will also be open for the Petitioner to make a representation to the Respondent-MIDC indicating that he was not heard, which can always be considered by the Respondent-MIDC on its own merits.

5. Keeping the remedies of the Petitioner open to challenge the order passed by the MIDC, the present Contempt Petition is disposed of.

(N.R. BORKAR, J.)

(M.S. KARNIK, J.)