

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**(158) CONTEMPT PETITION NO.228 OF 2025
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.4938 OF 2021**

Uttam Shahurao Kalase and Ors. .. Petitioners

Versus

State of Maharashtra
Through its Secretary
Smt.Sujata Sunik and Ors. .. Respondents

**WITH
(159) CONTEMPT PETITION NO.229 OF 2025
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.15510 OF 2022
WITH
(160) CONTEMPT PETITION NO.230 OF 2025
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.2543 OF 2022
WITH
(161) CONTEMPT PETITION NO.231 OF 2025
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.4995 OF 2024
WITH
(162) CONTEMPT PETITION NO.418 OF 2025
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.4938 OF 2021**

Mr.Sanjaykumar B. Bhosale, Advocate for the Petitioner in all
Petitions.

Mr.PP. Kakade, Addl.GP with Mr.VM. Mali, AGP for Respondent –
State in CP 228 of 2025.

Mr.PP. Kakade, Addl. GP with Mr.N.M. Mehra, AGP for Respondent –
State in CP 229 of 2025.

**RAJESHRI
PRAKASH
AHER**

Digitally signed by
RAJESHRI PRAKASH
AHER
Date: 2025.07.29
11:30:29 +0530

Rajeshri Aher

Mr.PP. Kakade, Addl. GP with Mr.PN. Diwan, AGP for Respondent – State in CP 230 of 2025.

Mr.PP. Kakade, Addl. GP with Mr.S.H. Kankal, AGP for Respondent – State in CP 231 of 2025.

Mr.PP. Kakade, Addl. GP with Mr.A.K. Naik, AGP for Respondent – State in CP 418 of 2025.

Mr.Vijay Killedar a/w. Ms.Priyanka Chavan, Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 and 4 – Solapur ZP

**CORAM: SHREE CHANDRASHEKHAR &
MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, JJ.**

DATED : 22nd JULY, 2025.

PC.:

By a common order dated 4th July 2022, five writ petitions came to be disposed of by this Court. Alleging willful and intentional violation of the said order, these contempt petitions are filed under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

2. On 16th July 2025, this Court framed charges against the respondent–contemnor nos.3 and 4. In the meantime, the order passed by the writ Court has been complied with. Mr.Kakade, the learned Additional Government Pleader for the Respondent-State tenders copies of the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.3 and 4 which are taken on record.

3. In view thereof, the proceedings in these contempt petitions are closed.

4. Consequently, the contemnor–respondents are discharged.

[MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, J.]

[SHREE CHANDRASHEKHAR, J.]