

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**(6) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 348 OF 2025  
IN  
WRIT PETITION NO. 2162 OF 2022**

Vandana Balkrushna Shigwan @  
Rutuja Rejendra Londhe .....Petitioner  
***Versus***  
Mahesh J. Chothe .....Respondent

**AND  
(7) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 349 OF 2025  
IN  
WRIT PETITION NO. 2168 OF 2022**

Ilahi Rasul Nadaf .....Petitioner  
***Versus***  
Mahesh J. Chothe & Anr. ....Respondents

**AND  
(8) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 352 OF 2025  
IN  
WRIT PETITION NO. 2137 OF 2022**

Pandharinath Parashram Sangamnere ....Petitioner  
***Versus***  
Bhausahab B. Chavhan ....Respondent

**AND  
(9) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 353 OF 2025  
IN  
WRIT PETITION NO. 9242 OF 2021**

Dhiraj Rajaram Savkar ....Petitioner  
***Versus***  
Bhausahab B. Chavhan ....Respondent

**AND  
(10) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 358 OF 2025  
IN  
WRIT PETITION NO. 8994 OF 2021**

This order has been modified by speaking to the minutes of the order dated 11<sup>th</sup> August, 2025

Balasaheb Trimbak Shinde

...Petitioner

***Versus***

Bhausahab B. Chavhan

...Respondent

**AND**

**(11) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 359 OF 2025**

**IN**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 2052 OF 2022**

Jagannath Govind Autade

...Petitioner

***Versus***

Bhausahab B. Chavhan

...Respondent

**AND**

**(12) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 360 OF 2025**

**IN**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 13081 OF 2022**

Yadav Balkrushna Sonwane

...Petitioner

***Versus***

Bhausahab B. Chavhan

...Respondent

**AND**

**(13) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 367 OF 2025**

**IN**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 2133 OF 2022**

Vasudeo Ningappa Washikar

...Petitioner

***Versus***

Mahesh Jagannath Chothe

...Respondent

**AND**

**(14) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 368 OF 2025**

**IN**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 2134 OF 2022**

Tukaram Mahadev Gurav

...Petitioner

***Versus***

Mahesh Jagannath Chote

...Respondent

**AND**

**(15) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 369 OF 2025**

This order has been modified by speaking to the minutes of the order dated 11<sup>th</sup> August, 2025

IN  
WRIT PETITION NO. 2126 OF 2022

|                              |               |
|------------------------------|---------------|
| Prakash S/o Dasharath Jadhav | ...Petitioner |
| <b><i>Versus</i></b>         |               |
| Bhausahab B. Chavhan         | ...Respondent |

AND  
(16) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 370 OF 2025  
IN  
WRIT PETITION NO. 2129 OF 2022

|                       |               |
|-----------------------|---------------|
| Sudam Narayan Bhadane | ...Petitioner |
| <b><i>Versus</i></b>  |               |
| Bhausahab B. Chavhan  | ...Respondent |

AND  
(17) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 371 OF 2025  
IN  
WRIT PETITION NO. 2121 OF 2022

|                            |               |
|----------------------------|---------------|
| Mahendra Shantilal Shewale | ...Petitioner |
| <b><i>Versus</i></b>       |               |
| Bhausahab B. Chavhan       | ...Respondent |

AND  
(18) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 372 OF 2025  
IN  
WRIT PETITION NO. 2155 OF 2022

|                        |               |
|------------------------|---------------|
| Arundhati Vinay Chavan | ...Petitioner |
| <b><i>Versus</i></b>   |               |
| Eknath S. Ambokar      | ...Respondent |

AND  
(19) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 373 OF 2025  
IN  
WRIT PETITION NO. 2147 OF 2025

|                          |               |
|--------------------------|---------------|
| Prashant Mahadev Chopade | ...Petitioner |
| <b><i>Versus</i></b>     |               |
| Eknath S. Ambokar        | ...Respondent |

This order has been modified by speaking to the minutes of the order dated 11<sup>th</sup> August, 2025

**AND**  
**(20) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 374 OF 2025**  
**IN**  
**WRIT PETITION NO. 2150 OF 2022**

Ashok S/o Appaso Gurav ...Petitioner  
***Versus***  
Eknath S. Ambokar ...Respondent

**AND**  
**(21) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 375 OF 2025**  
**IN**  
**WRIT PETITION NO. 3770 OF 2022**

Sarita Mahadev Gurav  
Alias Sarita Chandrakant Gurav ...Petitioner  
***Versus***  
Eknath S. Ambokar ...Respondent

**AND**  
**(22) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 376 OF 2025**  
**IN**  
**WRIT PETITION NO. 8990 OF 2021**

Manasi Sudhir Mane  
Alias Aruna Yaswant Patil ...Petitioner  
***Versus***  
Eknath S. Ambokar ...Respondent

**AND**  
**(23) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 377 OF 2025**  
**IN**  
**WRIT PETITION NO. 2135 OF 2022**

Santosh S/o Maruti Salvi ...Petitioner  
***Versus***  
Mahesh Jagannath Chothe & Anr. ...Respondents

**AND**  
**(24) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 378 OF 2025**  
**IN**  
**WRIT PETITION NO. 2742 OF 2021**

This order has been modified by speaking to the minutes of the order dated 11<sup>th</sup> August, 2025

Dnyaneshwar S/o Yadavrao Kale

...Petitioner

***Versus***

Bhausahab B. Chavhan

...Respondent

**AND**

**(25) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 383 OF 2025**

**IN**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 2120 OF 2022**

Jitendra Kautik Desale

...Petitioner

***Versus***

Bhausahab B. Chavhan

...Respondent

**AND**

**(29) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 452 OF 2025**

**IN**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 6137 OF 2022**

Raju Narayan Patil

...Petitioner

***Versus***

Bhausahab B. Chavhan

...Respondent

----

Mr. Arvind G. Ambetkar a/w. Mr. Sandeep Gupta for the Petitioner in all Petitions.

Mr. P.P. Kakade, Addl. GP for the Respondent – State in WP/348/2025.

Mr. V.M. Mali, AGP for the Respondent – State in WP/349/2025.

Mr. S.B. Kalel, AGP for the Respondent – State in WP/352/2025.

Mr. S.H. Kankal, AGP for the Respondent – State in WP/353/2025.

Ms. Priyanka Chavan, AGP for the Respondent – State in WP/358/2025.

Mr. A.K. Naik, AGP for the Respondent – State in WP/359/2025.

Mr. A. R. Deolekar, AGP for the Respondent – State in WP/360/2025.

Ms. P.M. Joshi Deshpande, AGP for the Respondent – State in WP/367/2025.

Ms. P.N. Diwan, AGP for the Respondent – State in WP/368/2025.

Mr. K.S. Thorat, B Panel Counsel for the Respondent – State in WP/369/2025.

Mr. N.C. Walimbe, Addl. GP for the Respondent – State in WP/370/2025.

This order has been modified by speaking to the minutes of the order dated 11<sup>th</sup> August, 2025

Mr. S. P. Kamble, AGP for the Respondent – State in WP/371/2025.  
Ms. Nisha Mehra, AGP for the Respondent – State in WP/372/2025.  
Mr. P.P. Kakade, Addl. GP a/w. Mr. A.K. Naik, AGP for the  
Respondent – State in WP/373/2025, WP/374/2025, WP/375/2025,  
WP/376/2025, WP/377/2025, WP/378/2025 and WP/383/2025.

----

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE &  
GAUTAM A. ANKHAD, JJ.**

**DATE : 16<sup>th</sup> JULY, 2025**

**P.C. :-**

1. On 11<sup>th</sup> April, 2022, this Court had delivered an order in  
Writ Petition No.8990 of 2021 along with connected matters  
(***Smt. Manasi Sudhir Mane @ Aruna Yashwant Patil v/s. The State  
of Maharashtra and Ors.***), wherein the Writ Bench concluded in  
paragraphs nos.10 to 14, as under :

*10. The Petitions are, therefore, partly allowed  
and following directions are issued.*

*11. Respondent No.3 or Deputy Director of  
Respondent No.4, as the case may be, are directed  
to decide case of each of the Petitioners regarding  
applicability of the old pension scheme as per  
Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982  
and Maharashtra Civil Services (Computation of  
Pensions) Rules-1984 and General Provident  
Fund Scheme by keeping in view law laid down  
by this Court in its various judgments including  
the judgments referred to in the body of the  
judgment.*

This order has been modified by speaking to the minutes of the order dated 11<sup>th</sup> August, 2025

*12. If it is so found by Respondent No.3 or Deputy Director of Respondent No.4, as the case may be, that the Petitioners are governed by old pension scheme, the Respondent No.3 or Respondent No.4, as the case may be, shall issue necessary directions to not deduct any amount from the salary payable to the Petitioners governed by the old pension scheme for the purpose of its applying to the DCPS or NPS, and shall also issue necessary directions for refunding of these amounts to those petitioners from whose salary, same are deducted, within four weeks of the date on which such determination is made.*

*13. In case it is found that the Petitioners are governed by the old pension scheme necessary directions shall be issued by Respondent No.3 or Deputy Director of Respondent No.4, as the case may be, to open GPF accounts in the names of the Petitioners found eligible for same, within four weeks of the date on which their eligibility is determined.*

*14. Each of the Petitioners to appear before the Respondent No.3 or Deputy Director of Respondent No.4, as the case may be, on 18<sup>th</sup> April, 2022.*

2. The grievance of the Petitioners is that the Authorities, namely, the Education Officer (Secondary) and the Deputy Director of Education, as the case may be, have refused to grant them a hearing, despite a specific direction by the Writ Court to grant them such a hearing on 18<sup>th</sup> April, 2022. Naturally, without such a

This order has been modified by speaking to the minutes of the order dated 11<sup>th</sup> August, 2025

hearing, no order could be passed. Furthermore, the said Authorities are yet to implement the order of this Court dated 11<sup>th</sup> April 2022, despite the Court having granted a time frame of four weeks to decide the Petitioners' representations, whether for the Old Pension Scheme or the Defined Contributory Pension Scheme.

3. *Prima facie*, the disobedience of our order is visible. However, the learned Addl. GP and the learned AGPs, on instructions, submit that the Accused-Respondents have the highest regard and respect for the orders of all Courts and have no intention, to disobey any order. An unconditional oral apology is tendered, along with a statement that the Petitioners may appear before the concerned Authorities at Kolhapur, Ratnagiri, Nashik, etc., as the case may be, on 1<sup>st</sup> August, 2025 at 11:00 a.m. One by one, the concerned Authorities would receive their written submissions and close the matter for passing reasoned orders, on or before 31<sup>st</sup> August, 2025. If there is any impediment and the said Authority finds itself short of time, a Civil Application would be filed in this Court seeking extension of time, with due notice to the Petitioners. We are assured that a reason for filing a second Contempt Petition,

This order has been modified by speaking to the minutes of the order dated 11<sup>th</sup> August, 2025

would not arise.

4. The learned Advocate for the Petitioners submits that the Petitioners would tender their written notes of submissions on 1<sup>st</sup> August, 2025 and they would not engage in any arguments with any of the Officers.

5. Considering the above statement made by the learned Addl. GP and the learned AGPs, on instructions, we are not issuing notice in these matters. **All these matters are disposed off.**

6. We clarify that the aforesaid statement is being recorded only to grant one more opportunity to the concerned Accused/Contemnors to comply with the order passed by the Writ Bench. We hope that they would not give any reason to the Petitioners to file a second Contempt Petition.

7. Needless to state, a reasoned order would be passed by the said Authorities on the merits of the cases of the Petitioners, on or before 31<sup>st</sup> August, 2025.

This order has been modified by speaking to the minutes of the order dated 11<sup>th</sup> August, 2025

8. Copies of the orders would be served upon these Petitioners and their Management, through email. We, therefore, direct these Petitioners to write their correct email addresses in their written submissions to be tendered on 1<sup>st</sup> August, 2025. This would also facilitate easy correspondence between them in these proceedings.

9. It goes without saying that in the event, in any case, the concerned Authority has already arrived at a decision and the same is still not communicated to the Petitioners or the Management, the same shall be communicated within a period of 15 days from today.

**(GAUTAM A. ANKHAD, J.)**

**(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)**

This order has been modified by speaking to the minutes of the order dated 11<sup>th</sup> August, 2025