

Priya Soparkar

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 16691 OF 2025

Datta Gaurya Katkari and ors. ...Petitioners
Versus
State of Maharashtra and ors. ...Respondents

Mr. Suyash Sule, for the Petitioners.
Mr. Saket Mone, "B" Panel Advocate, for the State.
Mr. Sandipan Devane, Desk Officer (Revenue Department) is
present.

CORAM: SUMAN SHYAM &
S. M. MODAK, JJ.

DATED: 16th DECEMBER, 2025.

PC:-

1. Being aggrieved by the order passed by the Respondent No.2 condoning long delay in preferring the Application filed by the Respondent No.4, the Petitioners have preferred Revision Application before the Respondent No.1 alongwith a prayer to stay further proceedings before the Respondent No.2. The grievance of the Petitioners is that no action has been taken by the Respondent No.1 either on the Revision Application or on the Stay Application. As such, they have been compelled to approach this Court by filing this Writ Petition.

2. Mr. Saket Mone, learned "B" Panel counsel appearing for the State submits, on instructions obtained from Mr. Sandipan Devane,

Desk Officer (Revenue Department), that the Revision Application as well as the Application for Stay made by the Petitioners can be considered on merits and disposed of within a period of six months from the date of the receipt of the copy of this order.

3. If that be so, a direction to that effect can be issued in this Writ Petition. Ordered accordingly.

4. In so far as an apprehension expressed by the Petitioners that during the pendency of the Applications, the concerned Sub-Divisional Officer–Respondent No.2 may proceed with the matter, thus, clouding the title of the Petitioners, as an ad-interim measure, we grant prayer clause (b). This order to remain in force until such time, the Revision Application is finally disposed of by the Respondent No.1.

5. This Writ Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(S. M. MODAK, J.)

(SUMAN SHYAM, J.)