

SUNNY
ANKUSHRAO
THOTE
Digitally signed
by SUNNY
ANKUSHRAO
THOTE
Date:
2025.12.18
17:01:18
+0530

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

(911) WRIT PETITION NO. 12842 OF 2025

Shilpa Shivling Velkar ...Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

AND
(912) WRIT PETITION NO. 12857 OF 2025

Shraddha Chandrakant Pagade ...Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

AND
(913) WRIT PETITION NO. 12918 OF 2025

Sudhir Anandrao Kamble ...Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

AND
(914) WRIT PETITION NO. 13024 OF 2025

Charusheela Shrirang Shirke ...Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

AND
(915) WRIT PETITION NO. 13689 OF 2025

Sarita Vinay Hate ...Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

AND
(916) WRIT PETITION NO. 15328 OF 2025

Dnyaneshwari Vitthalrao Kuwar	...Petitioner
<i>Versus</i>	
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	...Respondents

Mr. Pradeep Yadav, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. B.V. Samant, Addl. G.P. a/w Ms. P.M.J. Deshpande, AGP for the Respondent/State in WP/12842/2025.

Mr. S.H. Kankal, AGP for the Respondent/State in WP/12857/2025.

Mr. A.K. Naik, AGP for the Respondent/State in WP/12918/2025.

Mr. V.G. Badgujar, AGP for the Respondent/State in WP/13024/25.

Ms. Priyanka Chavan, AGP for the Respondent/State in WP/13689/2025.

Mr. K.S. Thorat, 'B' Panel Counsel for the Respondent/State in WP/15328/2025.

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
&
ASHWIN D. BHOBE, JJ.

DATE : 17th DECEMBER, 2025

P.C. :-

1. The learned Advocate for the Petitioners informs us that the Petitioners are identically placed with the Petitioners in Writ Petition No.1294 of 2018 (*Smt. Jayashree Shivaji Jakate & Ors. V/s. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.*) and connected large group of cases, which have been disposed off by a final order dated 4th December, 2025 delivered by this Court.

2. In all these matters, the proposals for seeking approval to the appointments of the Petitioners, forwarded by the Educational Institution, have been rejected on the ground that the deficiencies have not been removed.

3. The learned Advocate for the Institution submits that the deficiencies were removed. They were not looked into by the concerned Authority and without application of mind, the revised proposals were mechanically rejected.

4. In view of the above, **all these Writ Petitions are partly allowed.** The impugned orders are set aside. Considering the further directions that we are issuing, the proposals would be kept in abeyance before the concerned Authority, subject to the decision of the Special Committee constituted.

5. The Petitioners would be subjected to the same process which this Court has directed vide order dated 4th December, 2025 by appointing the Single Member Committee of Hon'ble Shri Justice Rajesh G. Ketkar (retired Judge of the Bombay High Court), save and except, if there is any clause in the order dated 4th

December 2025, which disqualifies the Petitioners. Needless to state, the Petitioners would, therefore, act in accordance with the terms of the final order/decision of the said Committee.

(ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)