

Smt. Sharmila Arun Patil	...	Petitioner
V/s.		
Shivraj Komal Singh and Ors.	...	Respondents

Mr. Sham Thakur h/f Jayesh Joshi, for the petitioner.

Digitally signed by
VARSHA DEEPAK
GAIKWAD
Date: 2025.10.16
18:26:49 +0530

CORAM : N.J. JAMADAR, J.
DATE : 14TH OCTOBER 2025.

PC:

1. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.
2. The challenge in this petition is to an order passed by the learned District Judge, Bhiwandi on 30th August 2025, whereby the learned District Judge was persuaded to stay the execution and operation of the decree to the extent of the delivery of possession of the suit property till the final disposal of the appeal. The prayer of the petitioner to issue direction to the concerned revenue authority not to enter the name of the respondent in the revenue record has been rejected.
3. Having regard to the nature of the decree passed by the trial Court, which the petitioner has impugned before the District Court, the order of stay to the execution and operation of the decree to the extent of delivery of possession of the suit property, adequately protects the

petitioner.

4. Nonetheless, to rule out the possibility of prejudice, the entries that might be made in the revenue record, pursuant to the decree passed by the trial Court, shall be subject to the outcome of the appeal before the learned District Judge.

5. Petition disposed.

(N.J. JAMADAR, J)