

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 12432 OF 2025

Harinder Pal Singh and Anr. ...Petitioners
Versus

The State of Maharashtra
Through Divisonal Joint Registrar,
Co – operative Society, Fort, Mumbai and Ors. ...Respondents

Dr. Uday Warunjikar a/w. Mr. Sumit Kate i/b. Ms. Sonali R. Chavan, advocate for the Petitioners.
Ms. Leena Patil, AGP 'B' Panel for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.
Mr. S. Badsiwal i/b. M/s. Legal Pyramids, advocate for Respondent Nos. 3 and 4.

CORAM: SUMAN SHYAM & MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, JJ.

DATED: 24TH SEPTEMBER 2025.

PC:-

1. Heard Dr. Warunjikar, learned counsel for the Petitioners. The Petitioners are the disqualified members of the Co-operative society in question, who are primarily aggrieved due to failure on the part of Respondent No.1 to decide their Appeal. He submits that during the pendency of the Appeal there are activities going on in the plot of the Respondent No.3 thus causing irreparable injury to the interest of the society.

2. Ms. Leena Patil, AGP seeks some time to take instructions in the matter.

RAJESHRI
PRAKASH
AHER
Digitally
signed by
RAJESHRI
PRAKASH
AHER
Date:
2025.09.26
12:23:40
+0530

3. Mr. Badsawal, learned counsel for Respondent Nos.3 and 4 also wants to file reply.
4. Stand over to 24th November, 2025.
5. In view of the above, since learned AGP waives notice on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2 and Mr. Badsawal, learned counsel for Respondent Nos. 3 and 4, no Court notice is required to be issued in this case. Copies of the Writ Petition be furnished to the counsel for the Respondents, so as to enable them to file reply.
6. Ad-interim relief in terms of prayer clause (c) is granted, till the next date.
7. We, however, make it clear that pendency of this Writ Petition shall not be a bar for Respondent No.1 to proceed and decide the Appeal pending before him by a reasoned order.

(MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, J.)

(SUMAN SHYAM, J.)