

GAYATRI
RAJENDRA
SHIMPI

Digitally signed
by GAYATRI
RAJENDRA
SHIMPI
Date: 2025.11.13
20:11:57 +0530

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 11069 OF 2025

Mahesh Raghunath Jadhav ... Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra Thr.
Principal Secretary And Ors. ... Respondents

Ms. Archana Shelar, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. S. H. Kankal, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 & 2 - State.

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND
ASHWIN D. BHOBE, JJ.**

DATE : 12th NOVEMBER, 2025

P.C. :

1. The learned AGP submits, on instructions, that the proposal forwarded by the Management to Respondent No.2, is now transmitted to Respondent No.1, State. Since the proposal for seeking approval to the transfer of the Petitioner from the unaided establishment to the aided establishment pertains to the non-teaching category, Respondent No.2 will have to decide the said proposal.

2. In view of the above, this **Writ Petition is disposed off** with the following directions :-

- a) Respondent No.2 would fetch the pending proposal from Respondent No.1, within a period of 15 days from today.
- b) Since Respondent No.2 has already remarked on the proposal that it is in order, he would consider the same on its own merits and pass an order within a period of 30 days.
- c) If the proposal is accepted, Shalarth-ID would be granted by following the due procedure. If the proposal is not approved, liberty to the aggrieved parties to avail of a remedy, as is permissible in law.

(ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)