

Santosh

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 10886 OF 2025

State Bank of India

...Petitioner

Versus

Hon'ble Minister for Revenue and Forest
Department, Government of Maharashtra
and ors.

...Respondents

Mr. Simil Purohit, Senior Advocate, a/w Vishal Pattabiraman,
Saloni Kapadia and Daksha Kasekar i/by Cyril
Amarchand Mangaldas, for the Petitioner.

Ms. Mehernaz Contractor, for Respondent Nos.9 and 10.

Mr. Ganesh Bhujbal, for Respondent Nos. 11 to 17.

Ms. Kavita Solunki, AGP for Respondent Nos.1 to 6 – State.

Ms. Esha Malik, through VC, for the Respondent.

CORAM: N. J. JAMADAR

DATED: 13th AUGUST, 2025

PC:-

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the parties.
2. Leave to amend so as to amend the cause-title.
3. Necessary amendment be carried out forthwith.
4. Reverification dispensed with.
5. Mr. Bhujbal, the learned Counsel for respondent Nos.11 to 17, seeks time to file a short affidavit-in-reply. Respondent Nos.11 to 17 are stated to be the main contesting respondents.

6. Let the affidavit-in-reply be filed within a period of two weeks and its copy served on the petitioner.
7. Rejoinder, if any, be filed within a period of one week thereafter.
8. The parties are put to notice that an endeavour would be to hear and finally decide the petition at the stage of admission.

[N. J. JAMADAR, J.]