IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 10032 OF 2025

Pandharinath Mangal Patil ..Petitioner

Versus

State of Maharashtra Through Principal Secretary & Ors …Respondents

Ms. Minal Chandnani, for the Petitioner.
Ms. Savina Crasto, AGP, for Respondent No.1-State.

CORAM: N. J. JAMADAR, J.

DATED : 25th JULY 2025

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the Petitioner.

2. The impugned order permits the withdrawal of the Revision Application No. 38 of 2023 preferred by the Respondent Nos. 5 to 8, unconditionally.

3. The Settlement Commissioner was cautious enough to provide that if anybody is aggrieved by the order impugned in the Revision Application No. 38 of 2003; which stood withdrawn, such person was at liberty to assail the said order by filing a Revision.

4. If the Petitioner feels aggrieved by the order which was impugned in Revision Application No. 38 of 2003, the Petitioner has the liberty to file appropriate proceeding.

ARUN RAMCHANDRA SANKPAL

Digitally signed by ARUN RAMCHANDRA SANKPAL
Date: 2025.07.28 11:22:28 +0530

5. The Challenge to the impugned order is thus wholly misconceived.

6. The Petition stands dismissed.

[N. J. JAMADAR, J.]