



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 9448 OF 2025

Santosh Namdev Gaikwad & Ors.

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Maharashtra, through the Secretary,
Rural Development Department & Ors.

... Respondents

Mr. Nagesh Y. Chavan a/w. Ms. Ankita Chindage for the petitioners.

Mr. Y.D. Patil, AGP for the State.

Mr. Ratan L. Adhe i/b. Mr. Pankaj P. Deokar for respondent nos. 3 and 4.

Ms. Nalinin Londhe i/b. Mr. Rajesh Tekale for respondent no. 9.

CORAM: G. S. KULKARNI &
ARIF S. DOCTOR, JJ.

DATED: 6 AUGUST, 2025

P.C.

1. This petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying for the following reliefs:

“a) Rule be issued, record and proceedings be called for;

b) This Hon’ble Court be pleased to quash and set aside the impugned Report dated 13.05.2025 bearing Outward No. PS/VS/216/2025 submitted by the Enquiry Committee (or Panchayat Samiti, Maval, District : Pune);

c) This Hon’ble Court be pleased to quash and set aside the impugned report dated 10.06.2025 bearing Outward No. ZP/VS-7/125/2025 submitted by the respondent no. 3, Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Pune.”

2. In our opinion, the petition is pre-mature, as on the basis of the impugned report, a decision is yet to be taken. We keep open all the contentions of the respondents to proceed further in accordance with law after hearing all the stakeholders as involved.

3. Disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.)

(G. S. KULKARNI, J.)