

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 8657 OF 2025

Farooque Ahmed Kalyani and Anr. ...Petitioners

VERSUS

District Magistrate, Solapur and Ors ...Respondents.

....

Mr. Shubham Kahite, Advocate for the Petitioners

Ms. Ashwini A. Purav, AGP for the Respondent - State.

Mr. Gajendra Rajpur, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

....

**CORAM : M. S. KARNIK &
N. R. BORKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 13th AUGUST, 2025

P.C.:

1. Leave to amend is granted.
2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.
3. Learned AGP for the Respondent to take instructions from the Tahsildar, Solapur, as to the reason why the possession of the secured asset is not being taken. The learned counsel for the respondent No.2-Bank also supported the contention of the petitioner that the

possession has to be handed over, but the possession is not being taken by the Tahsildar in compliance with the order under Section 14 of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (for short 'SARFAESI Act') passed by the respondent No. 2.

4. List on 22nd August, 2025.

(N. R. BORKAR, J.)

(M. S. KARNIK, J.)