

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 8322 OF 2025

Chetana Pritam Patil .. Petitioner  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra and Ors. .. Respondents

Mr. Sushant A. Khatake, Advocate for the Petitioner.  
Ms N. M. Mehra, AGP, for Respondent Nos. 1 to 5.

CORAM : SHREE CHANDRASHEKHAR &  
MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, JJ

DATE : 11<sup>TH</sup> AUGUST 2025.

PC. :

The petitioner who is claiming approval for her appointment on the post of Shikshan Sevak is aggrieved by the order dated 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2022 passed by the Education Officer (Secondary), Zilla Parishad, Palghar.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner points out that the only ground taken in the impugned order dated 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2022 is that appointment of the petitioner was not through Pavitra Portal. In that connection, the learned counsel for the petitioner refers to the order dated 23<sup>rd</sup> April 2025 passed in Writ Petition No.10205 of 2024 titled "*Kalyansing Indrasing Rajput & Ors. v. The State of Maharashtra through its Principal Secretary & Ors.*" with connected writ petitions. However, the stand taken on behalf of the petitioner has been controverted by the respondent by filing the reply affidavit wherein it is stated that the appointment of the petitioner was in contravention to the mandatory provision under the Government Resolution dated 23<sup>rd</sup> June 2017 inasmuch as the advertisement for appointment on the post of Shikshan Sevak was published in daily newspaper *Rajhit* which is not a widely circulated newspaper. Mr. N. M. Mehra, the learned AGP for

the State-respondents has also referred to an order passed by this Court in Writ Petition No. 3142 of 2020 titled “*Pravin Bodhu Kasbe v. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.*” to submit that it has been held by this Court that it is mandatory to make appointment through Pavitra Portal. The learned AGP has also referred to a decision in Writ Petition No. 16128 of 2024 titled “*Pooja Yogesh Singh & Anr. v. State of Maharashtra & Ors.*” (2024 SCC OnLine Bom 3677) to lay support to the stand taken by the respondents that the advertisement for appointment on the post of Shikshan Sevak must be published in a widely circulated newspaper.

3. As to the objection raised by the respondents regarding publication of the advertisement in daily newspaper *Rajhit*, we intend to indicate that the said newspaper has different additions published at Mumbai, Thane, Raigad etc. and, therefore, the objection regarding publication of the advertisement needs a fresh re-look. Insofar as the reliance placed on the order passed in Writ Petition No. 3142 of 2020 is concerned, we would simply observe that the said order has been taken note of by the writ Court in its order dated 23<sup>rd</sup> April 2025 in Writ Petition No. 10205 of 2024. Having regard to the aforesaid aspects of the matter, the order dated 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2022 is quashed and the matter is remanded back to the Education Officer (Secondary) to pass a fresh order in the light of the above observations.

4. Writ Petition No. 8322 of 2025 is allowed in the above terms.

[ MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, J. ]

[ SHREE CHANDRASHEKHAR, J. ]