

PVR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 8145 OF 2025

Nitin Ramchandra Cheulkar

...Petitioner

Vs.

Chief Executive Officer, SRA & Ors.

...Respondents

Mr. Kanhaiya Yadav i/b. Mr. Ankit Dubey for the Petitioners.

Mrs. Ravleen Subharwal with Ms. Aarushi Yadav for SRA.

Mr. Huda Diamondwala and Mr. Vinay Dali for Respondent No.4.

CORAM: G. S. KULKARNI &
ARIF S. DOCTOR, JJ.
DATE: 23 JUNE 2025.

P.C.

1. We have heard learned Counsel for the parties.
2. It is the petitioner's case, that the petitioner is eligible for allotment of a permanent alternate accommodation. The name of the petitioner is also stated to be appearing in the supplementary Annexure II. The petitioner has made a representation in that regard dated 3 February 2025 (Exhibit F, page 28 to the petition) to the Assistant Commissioner, Mumbai City SRA as also to the Estate Department, SRA. The grievance of the petitioner is to the effect that such representation / application of the petitioner is not being considered. As this is the only relief which is being asserted on behalf of the petitioner, we are inclined to dispose of the petition directing the Competent Officer of the Slum Rehabilitation Authority, to decide the petitioner's representation dated 3 February 2025 received by the SRA on 7 February 2025. It shall be decided in

accordance with law and after granting an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and to the other necessary parties, if so desired. Ordered accordingly. Let a decision be taken within a period of four weeks from today.

3. All contentions of the parties in that regard are expressly kept open.
4. Petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms. No costs.

(ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.)

(G. S. KULKARNI, J.)