



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 8021 OF 2025

Sangeeta Pandurang Gawali & Ors.

...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents

Mr. Purushottam Chavan a/w Someshwar Pawale Patil for Petitioner.

Dr. Dhruti Kapadia, AGP for State – Respondent No.1.

Mr. Deepak More a/w Shriram Gawade for Respondent Nos.2 & 3.

CORAM: G. S. KULKARNI &
ARIF S. DOCTOR, JJ.

DATE: 23 JUNE 2025

P.C.

1. We are informed by Mr. More, learned counsel for respondent – Municipal Corporation that what has been annexed by the petitioner is the operative part of the order passed by the Deputy Collector / Assistant Commissioner of the Municipal Corporation dated 9 June 2025. It is contended on behalf of the petitioner that the reasoned order dated 9 June 2025 was not served on the petitioner. Mr. More has furnished a copy of the reasoned order in Court today to the learned advocate appearing for the petitioner, a copy of which is already taken on record.

2. In this view of the matter, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would intend to withdraw this petition, with liberty to assail the reasoned order.

3. Allowed to be withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

4. All contentions of the parties are expressly kept open. No costs.

(ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.)

(G. S. KULKARNI, J.)