IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

(SR. No. 911) WRIT PETITION NO. 7229 OF 2025

Sharda Hiralal Bhamare ...Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ...Respondents

AND
(SR. NO. 912) WRIT PETITION NO. 7240 OF 2025

SNEHA NITIN CHAVAN

Digitally signed by SNEHA NITIN CHAVAN
Date: 2025.06.13 11:21:17 +0530

Nirmala Kiran Diwate Alias Nirmala S. Thanage And Ors ...Petitioners
Versus
The Commissioner- Administrator Nashik Municipal Corporation And Ors ...Respondents

AND
(SR. NO. 913) WRIT PETITION NO. 7243 OF 2025

Satvashila Damodhar Patil And Ors ...Petitioners
Versus
The Commissioner- Administrator Nashik Municipal Corporation And Ors ...Respondents

AND
(SR. NO. 914) WRIT PETITION NO. 7245 OF 2025

Mahesh Vana Patil ...Petitioner
Versus
The Commissioner- Administrator Nashik Municipal Corporation And Ors ...Respondents

AND
(SR. NO. 915) WRIT PETITION NO. 7247 OF 2025

Dipali Ramchandra Ogalmogle And Ors . ...Petitioners
Versus
The Commissioner- Administrator Nashik Municipal Corporation And Ors ...Respondents

AND
(SR. NO. 916) WRIT PETITION NO. 7249 OF 2025

Yogita Sahebrao Ahire ...Petitioner
Versus
The Commissioner- Administrator Nashik Municipal Corporation And Ors ...Respondents

AND
(SR. NO. 917) WRIT PETITION NO. 7251 OF 2025

Jayashree Sunil Rajbhoj And Ors ...Petitioners
Versus
The Commissioner-Cum-Administrator Nashik Municipal Corporation And Anr ...Respondents

****

Mr. Suresh Pakale, Senior Advocate a/w Mr. Nilesh Desai, Mr. Abhishek Karnik i/b Padmaja Malgaonkar, for the Petitioners.
Mr. Sanjeev Deore a/w Ms. Suchita Pawar and Mr. Arman Ansari for the Petitioner in Sr. No. 911.
Mr. V.M. Mali, AGP for Respondent No.1/State in Sr. No. 911.
Mr. S.H. Kankal, AGP for Respondent No.3/State in Sr. No. 914.
Ms. Sayli Gupte, for Respondent/Corporation (through VC).

****

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND
M.M. SATHAYE, JJ.

DATE : 10th JUNE, 2025

P.C. :

1. Issue notice to the Respondents, returnable on 03.07.2025.

2. The learned APP waives service of notice for Respondent No.1.

Ms. Sayli Gupte, waives service of notice for the Respondents/Nashik Municipal Corporation.

3. We are informed by the learned Advocate holding for Shri. Gutte, learned Advocate for the Corporation, that he has suffered a personal bereavement.

4. It is canvassed by the learned Senior Counsel, that these Petitioners, were absorbed by the Corporation under a specific scheme permitting such absorption either on the ground of couple convenience or surplusage or for any such reasons covered by the G.R. dated 16.02.2021. The grievance of these Petitioners is that they have been asked to report to different Zilla Parishad in a different District. The power of the Administrator/Commissioner, in passing such orders is also questioned in these petitions.

5. In the event, if any new teachers are appointed in the place of these Petitioners, the possibility of further complications cannot be ruled out. Hence, we direct status quo to be maintained.

6. We make it clear that in the event we conclude in favour of the

Petitioners, the prayer for grant salary with interest and restoration of the services would be considered.

7. Stand over to 03.07.2025 on the Supplementary board.

(M.M. SATHAYE, J.) (RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)