

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 7036 OF 2025

Amit Omprakash Agarwal and anr. ...Petitioners

Versus

Sitabai Khandu Dhore and ors. ...Respondents

Mr. Nachiket Khaladkar, for the Petitioners.
Smt. Savita Prabhune, AGP for the State.

CORAM: N. J. JAMADAR, J.
DATED: 30th JULY, 2025

SANTOSH
SUBHASH
KULKARNI

Digitally signed by
SANTOSH SUBHASH
KULKARNI
Date: 2025.07.30
18:08:37 +0530

PC:-

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the parties.
2. Respondent No.20 has filed an affidavit-in-reply.
3. The copies of the postal tracking report are annexed to the affidavit-in-reply.
4. The learned AGP fairly submits that the record indicates that petitioner Nos.1 and 2 were not served with the notices issued by the Sub-Divisional Officer.
5. *Prima facie* it appears that the impugned order has been passed without providing an efficacious opportunity of hearing to the petitioners and in breach of the principles of natural justice.

6. Issue notice to the respondents for final disposal.
7. The learned AGP waives notice for the State – respondent No.20.
8. In addition to notice through Court the petitioner shall serve rest of the respondents by private service and file an affidavit of service.
9. Stand over to 10th September, 2025.
10. In the meanwhile, there shall be an ad-interim relief in terms of prayer clause (c).

[N. J. JAMADAR, J.]