

consequence, it is stated on behalf of the Petitioner that all the banks have frozen the accounts of the federation on the premise that it is no longer a recognised federation that is in existence.

2. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that there is no tearing urgency for the order to take effect when this his precious right to challenge the same being heard. It is submitted that the impugned order although dated April 30, 2025 was received on May 9, 2025, which coincides with this Court going on vacation. In these circumstances, taking into account the fact that the federation is said to have been in existence and in operations for nearly seven years or more than seven years, it would be appropriate to stay the effect and operation of the impugned order for a period of three weeks after reopening.

3. List on ***June 23, 2025*** for consideration by the regular Bench as to whether this arrangement should continue. The other parties are at liberty to move the vacation Bench prior to the next date to highlight the need to vacate this arrangement.

4. It is made clear that all contentions including the objection with the maintainability of this Petition are also left open for consideration by the regular Bench.

5. All actions required to be taken pursuant to this order shall be taken upon receipt of a downloaded copy as available on this Court's website.

[SOMASEKHAR SUNDARESAN, J.]