



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

(15) WRIT PETITION NO. 6132 OF 2025

Aniruddha Sopan Mulik & Anr

...Petitioners

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra & Ors

...Respondents

AND

(16) WRIT PETITION NO. 6133 OF 2025

Rajeshri Hanmantrao Sonwalkar & Anr

...Petitioners

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra & Ors

...Respondents

Mr. Narendra V. Bandivadekar, Senior Advocate a/w. Adv. V. R. Kumbhar,
Adv. R. B. Khaire, Adv. A. S. Phapale i/b. Adv. A. N. Bandiwadekar for the
Petitioners in both the Writ Petitions.

Mr. Abhijeet K. Naik, AGP for the Respondent-State in WP/6132/2025

Mr. S. H. Kankal, AGP for the Respondent-State in WP/6133/2025

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE &
M. M. SATHAYE, JJ.**

DATE : 10th JUNE, 2025

P.C.:-

1. Both the impugned orders are dated 09/12/2024. Though certain deficiencies were pointed out by the Education Officer (Secondary), Zilla Parishad, Satara, instead of calling upon the Management to remove the deficiencies and tender the documents, absence of which was noted, the Education Officer has rejected the proposals.

2. In view of the above, we deem it appropriate to direct that the impugned orders shall be treated as a notice to the Management. Let the Management tender its revised proposal in the light of the said queries, within 45 days from today. After the Management tenders its revised proposal, Respondent No. 2 Authority shall deal with the said proposal by following the due procedure laid down in law, within a period of 45 days thereafter and pass a reasoned order.

3. In the event, an adverse order is passed, the Petitioners would be at liberty to avail of a remedy as is permissible in law. If the approval is granted, further steps for grant of Shalarth I.D. shall be initiated by the respective parties, expeditiously.

4. In view of the above, **both these Petitions are disposed off.**

(M. M. SATHAYE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)