

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 3889 OF 2025

Sangita Ramnath Sangle

... Petitioner

versus

The Union of India,
Through the General Manager,
Central Railway, Mumbai & Anr.

... Respondents

Mr.Narayan G. Rokade with Mr.Abhang M.Suryawanshi and
Mr.Siddharth Ghodke for the Petitioner.

Ms.Leena Patil for Respondent No.1- Union of India, Central
Railway.

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE &
ASHWIN D. BHOBE, JJ.**

DATE : 24TH MARCH, 2025

P.C. :-

1. The learned Advocate for the Petitioner has drawn our
attention to prayer clause (a).

2. The learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the
Central Railway, submits that the Railway Board will have to
consider the positive recommendations made by Respondent No.2.

3. In view of the above and considering that Train No.
11120 (Igatpuri-Bhusawal Up Memo) and Train No. 11119

(Bhusawal -Igatpuri Down Memo) used to halt at Ugaon Railway Station prior to the National lock down due to the Covid pandemic, that we are granting 60 days time to the Railway Board to take a decision on the said representation and in the light of the positive recommendations.

4. With the above directions, **this Writ Petition is disposed off.**

(ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)