

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 3148 OF 2025

Shivdulari Satyanarayan Yadav & Ors. ... Petitioners
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents.

Mr. Narendra V. Bandiwadkar, Senior Advocate a/w. Mr. Vinayak R. Kumbhar, Mr. Rajendra B. Khaire, Mr. Aniket S. Phapale, i/b. Ashwini N. Bandiwadkar, for the Petitioner.

Ms. P.N. Diwan, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1, 2, 4/State.

Ms. Chaitrali Deshmukh, for Respondent No. 3.

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND
ASHWIN D. BHOBE, JJ.**

DATE : 27th MARCH, 2025

P.C. :

1. The Petitioner Nos. 1 and 2 are teachers. Petitioner No. 3 is the Management.

2. We have considered the submissions of the learned Senior Advocate appearing on behalf of the Petitioners, the learned AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and the learned Advocate for Respondent No. 3.

3. The learned Senior Advocate points out that the Full Bench

of this Court has already delivered a Judgment in the matter of *Deshmukh Dilipkumar Bhagwan And Ors vs The State Of Maharashtra, reported in (2019) 3 Mh. L.J. 903*. Keeping in view the said Judgment, this Court has recently delivered a Judgment on 27th February, 2025 in Writ Petition No. 2345 of 2014 and connected matters (*Maharashtra Rajya Madhyamik Va Uchha Madhyamik Shala Kruti Samittee V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.*).

4. Considering the directions set out in paragraph 17, this **Petition is also disposed off** with the observation that the cases of Petitioner Nos. 1 and 2 would be covered by the directions set out in paragraph 17 in *Maharashtra Rajya Madhyamik Va Uchha Madhyamik Shala Kruti Samittee* (supra). The same procedure be followed and the proposal be scrutinized within the same time line.

(ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)