

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2334 OF 2025
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 2335 OF 2025

Sarojini Balwantrao Deshmukh ...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra through its
Principal Secretary and ors. ...Respondents

Mr. Surel Shah, Senior Advocate, a/w Duj Jain and Rajesh
Patil, i/b Kiran Jain, for the Petitioner.
Mr. Manoj Badgujar, i/b Sharmila Deshmukh, for the
Respondents.

CORAM: N. J. JAMADAR, J.
DATED: 20th FEBRUARY, 2025

Order:-

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner.
2. The challenge in these petitions is to an order passed by the learned District Judge, Solapur, on applications preferred in the LAR No.8 of 2019 and LAR No.13 of 2020 seeking impleadment. The learned Counsel for respondent No.6 invites attention of the Court to an order passed by this Court in WP/36/2023, whereby this Court has rejected the petition by Nilakshi Subhash Patil, the daughter of the instant petitioner against an identical order of rejection of the application for impleadment in the LARs.

3. Mr. Shah, the learned Senior Advocate for the petitioner, attempted to persuade the Court to hold that the petitioner has independent rights in the suit property and the order passed by this Court in the matter of Nilakshi Patil may not bind her.

4. I am afraid to accede to this submission, the claim of Nilakshi Patil also stems from the right of Balwantrao Deshmukh, the husband of the petitioner.

5. In the aforesaid view of the matter, the challenge to the impugned order cannot be entertained.

6. While rejecting the said petition, this Court had reserved the liberty to the petitioner therein to file appropriate proceeding as may be permissible in accordance with law.

7. Petitions stand dismissed with liberty to file appropriate proceeding as permissible in law.

[N. J. JAMADAR, J.]