

Shephali

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 2129 OF 2025

Sudha Ramesh Deo & Ors ...Petitioners
Versus
The Talathi, Village Sashte, Tal Haveli Dist. Pune ...Respondents
& Anr

WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION (ST) NO. 9380 OF 2025
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 2129 OF 2025

SHEPHALI
SANJAY
MORMARE

Digitally signed by
SHEPHALI SANJAY
MORMARE
Date: 2025.09.02
10:39:19 +0530

Mr Ajay Bhise, with Deepali Kedar, for the Petitioners.
Mr NC Walimbe, Addl. GP, with Reena Salunkhe, AGP, for the
Respondents Nos. 1 to 3-State.

CORAM: SUMAN SHYAM &
SHYAM C. CHANDAK, JJ.

DATED: 26TH AUGUST 2025.

PC:-

1. This Writ Petition has been filed with a limited grievance that the prayer made by the Petitioners by filing an Application dated 24th November 2024 seeking mutation of their names in the Revenue Records of the subject property, i.e., Gat No. 72, Village Sashte, Tal. Haveli, District-Pune, has not been disposed of by Respondent No. 1. The Respondent No.1 has filed Reply. Perusal of

the said Reply, more particularly, the statements in paragraph 4, indicates the reasons as to why the prayer has not been considered. According to the Respondent No.1, the Petitioners are not entitled to any order on merit.

2. As the prayer of the Writ Petitioners is only pertaining to issuance of an order directing the Respondent No. 1 to dispose of the Application dated 24th November 2024 on merit, which exercise has admittedly not been done by the authority, hence, without commenting on the merit of the statements made in the Writ Petition as well as the Affidavit filed by Respondent No.1, we dispose of the Writ Petition by providing that the application dated 24th November 2024 submitted by the Writ Petitioners be considered on merit and disposed of by a reasoned order. Whatever be the outcome of the process, the same be communicated to the Writ Petitioner in writing. The exercise be carried out as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law, after considering the documents placed on record, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order. If the Petitioners continue to remain aggrieved in the matter, even thereafter, it will be open for them to pursue appropriate legal remedy.

3. In view of disposal of the Writ Petition, Interim Application does not survive and is, accordingly, disposed of.

(SHYAM C. CHANDAK, J.)

(SUMAN SHYAM, J.)