

Jvs.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 1767 OF 2025

Digitally
signed by
JAYANT
VISHWANATH
SALUNKE
Date:
2025.02.17
18:02:18
+0530

Shree Ganesh Sahakari Bank Ltd.	}	Petitioner
Versus		
Kavita Santosh Sharma & Ors.	}	Respondents

Mr. Ashok B. Tajane with Mr. Yogesh G. Thorat for petitioner.

Ms. Neha S. Bhide, Government Pleader with Ms. V. R. Raje,
AGP for respondents 3 to 5 (State).

**CORAM: ALOK ARADHE, CJ. &
ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.**

DATE: FEBRUARY 17, 2025

ORDER: (Per Chief Justice)

- 1.** Heard learned counsel for the parties.
- 2.** Rule. With consent of learned counsel for the parties, Rule is made returnable forthwith and heard finally.
- 3.** In this writ petition, the petitioner, *inter alia*, seeks a direction to the Revisional Authority to decide the revision preferred by the petitioner under section 154 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 in a time bound manner.
- 4.** Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 22nd June 2023 passed by the Divisional Joint Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Nashik Division, Nashik. The petitioner preferred revision application on 29th August 2023, however, for about more than

one and half year, the same is pending before the Revisional Authority. It is, therefore, submitted that the Revisional Authority be directed to decide the revision application expeditiously.

5. Ms. Bhide, learned Government Pleader fairly submitted that the Revisional Authority shall decide the revision application preferred by the petitioner within such period as may be directed by this Court.

6. In view of the aforesaid submission and taking into account the fact that the revision application is pending before the Revisional Authority for past one and half year, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Revisional Authority to decide the revision application preferred by the petitioner expeditiously, preferably within three months from today.

7. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

(ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.)

(CHIEF JUSTICE)