

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 1891 OF 2024
ALONGWITH
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 288 OF 2021
ALONGWITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 3796 OF 2024
IN
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 1891 OF 2024**

Leeladhar Aithu Bangera ...Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra and Anr. ...Respondents

Mr. Leeladhar Bangera	Applicant in-person
Mr. C. D. Mali	APP for the Respondent-State
Mr. Shreeram Shirsat, Spl. PP a/w Nikhil Daga a/w Karishma Rajesh a/w Antara Kulkarni	Advocate for CBI-Respondent No. 2

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 16th JUNE 2025

P. C. :-

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 3796 OF 2024

1. Heard the Applicant-convicted accused in-person and learned

Advocate Shri Shirsat for CBI.

2. By way of Interim Application No. 1891 of 2024, the Applicant-Appellant has prayed for de-freezing certain assets. However, while drafting the said application, one prayer for relieving him from attending trial court remain to be incorporated. That is why present two interim applications.

3. Considering the nature of the prayer, the Interim Application No. 3796 of 2024 is allowed. The Applicant is permitted to carry out the amendment and to serve amended copy on the other side.

4. While staying the execution and operation of order of the recovery, this Court has considered the affidavit filed by the Applicant, that is why the order is stayed. Learned Advocate Shri Shirsat sought time to take instructions as to whether the assets in respect of which prayer for de-freezing is sought is part of the disproportionate asset or not.

5. Matter be kept on 07th July 2025.

[S. M. MODAK, J.]